## REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

As recognized by the Examiner, JP 2000-253127 ("Tsuji") discloses a communication apparatus with an image acquisition part which acquires image data, and which registers image data "into abbreviated dialing" (see the table of Fig. 4, for example).

In the Advisory Action, citing paragraphs [0010], [0014], [0021] and [0054] of Tsuji, the Examiner describes an embodiment of Tsuji whereby, according to the Examiner, Tsuji displays images data corresponding to the telephone number of a communication destination (paragraph [0010]), and whereby the image data can be acquired from the communication destination (paragraph [0021]). Moreover, according to paragraph [0054] of Tsuji (cited by the Examiner), a number of a calling party is received, and the abbreviated dialing table 17 is searched for the calling number. If the received calling number is in agreement with a telephone number in the abbreviated dialing table, then the image associated with the telephone number in the abbreviated dialing table is displayed. (Paragraph [0014] of Tsuji, cited by the Examiner, merely discloses that the image data may be still or moving.)

Based on this disclosure of Tsuji, the Examiner asserts that according to the disclosure of Tsuji: (1) image data is received by the communication apparatus of Tsuji from a call destination; and (2) the image data is searched for and displayed, if available, when the calling party calls; therefore (3) if the calling party "does not support" the sending of image data to the communication apparatus, then no image data will be displayed when the call destination calls.

It is respectfully pointed out that in this scenario described by the Examiner based on Tsuji, whether or not image data is displayed is determined entirely by the capabilities of the calling party (whether the "originating call device" does or does not "support image data" - see the continuation sheet of the Advisory Action). That is, in this scenario described by the Examiner based on Tsuji, the communication apparatus 1 itself does not determine or instruct whether or not the image data is displayed.

Thus, according to Tsuji as interpreted by the Examiner, image data is either displayed or not displayed based entirely on a factor (the capabilities of the calling party) external to the communication apparatus 1 of Tsuji (the device that displays the image data), and that cannot be controlled by the communication apparatus 1.

Application Serial No. 10/716,884 Response filed with RCE

By contrast, independent claim 1 recites:

first display control means for, when the instruction is issued to display the communication log, causing the display means to display the plurality of items of contact address information stored in the communication log storage means, together with a date and time at which communication corresponding to each of the displayed items of contact address information was carried out, and a display region for issuing an instruction to display images with the displayed communication log (emphasis added; see reference numeral 1113 in Fig. 11 with respect to the display region)

Thus, according to claim 1 when the communication log is displayed it is displayed with a region for issuing an instruction to display images.

In addition, independent claim 1 recites:

second instruction means for detecting an operation of a first key associated with the display region for issuing the instruction to display the images with the displayed communication log, during display of the communication log by the first display control means, and for issuing an instruction to display the displayed communication log on the display means together with the images;

Thus, according to claim 1, a first key that is associated with the display region for issuing the instruction is operated during display of the communication log to issue an instruction to display the communication log together with images.

Application Serial No. 10/716,884 Response filed with RCE

## Still further, claim 1 recites:

second display control means for, when it is determined that at least one of the displayed items of contact address information is associated with one of the items of image data, reading out and resizing each item of image data that is determined to be associated with one of the displayed items of contact address information and causing the display means to display each said read-out and resized item of image data in association with the corresponding displayed item of contact address information together with the dates and times at which the communication was carried out in association with the displayed items of contact address information, and replacing the display region for issuing the instruction to display the images with the displayed communication log with a display region for issuing an instruction to display the communication log by the first display control means without the images (emphasis added; see reference numeral 1130 in Fig. 13 with respect to the display region).

Thus, according to claim 1, when the communication log is displayed with images, it is displayed together with a region for issuing an instruction not to display the images.

In summary, according to claim 1, when a communication log is displayed, the first display control means also causes a display region for issuing an instruction to display the communication log with images to be displayed, and when the communication log is displayed with images, the second display control means also causes a display region for issuing an instruction to display the communication log without the images to be displayed.

It is respectfully submitted that since according to the Examiner's interpretation of Tsuji whether or not image data is displayed depends entirely on an external apparatus, the communication apparatus of Tsuji clearly would not display a display region for issuing an instruction to display the communication log with images or a display region for issuing an instruction to display the communication log without the images as recited in claim 1, since according ot the Examiner's own interpretation the communication apparatus 1 does not determine whether or not to display image data, but rather the determination of whether to display image data depends entirely on the functional capabilities of an external apparatus.

It is respectfully pointed out, moreover, that even in the Advisory Action, the Examiner still has not pointed to any feature in either Tsuji or US 2002/0052196 ("Padawer et al") corresponding to a display region for issuing an instruction to display the communication log with images or a display region for issuing an instruction to display the communication log without the images.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Tsuji and Padawer et al, even if considered together in a manner consistent with the fair teachings thereof, <u>clearly</u> do not disclose, teach or suggest all of the features recited in independent claim 1.

Independent method claim 12, moreover, recites, in particular, "displaying, when the instruction is issued to display the communication ... a display region for issuing an instruction to display images with the displayed communication log" (emphasis added). And independent method claim 12 also recites "when it is determined that at least one of the displayed items of contact address information associated with one of the items of image data, ... replacing the display region for issuing the instruction to display the images with the displayed communication log with a display region for issuing an instruction to display the communication log without the images" (emphasis added).

In manner similar to that explained above with respect to claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that Tsuji and Padawer et al, even if considered together in a manner consistent with the fair teachings thereof, also <u>clearly</u> do not disclose, teach or suggest all of the steps recited in independent method claim 12.

Therefore, it is respectfully even if the Examiner's interpretations of JP 2000-253127 ("Tsuji") and US 2002/0052196 ("Padawer et al") were assumed to be reasonable, the Final Office Action and Advisory Action still do not establish that the fair teachings of Tsuji and Padawer et al would teach or suggest all of the limitations recited in the independent claims. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that prima facie obviousness of

Application Serial No. 10/716,884 Response filed with RCE

independent claims 1 and 12 and the claims respectively depending therefrom has not been established, and it is respectfully requested that the rejections of claims 1 and 12 in view of Tsuji and Padawer be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present invention as recited in independent claims 1 and 12, as well as claims 2-11 and 13-20 respectively depending therefrom, clearly patentably distinguishes over of Tsuji and Padawer et al under 35 USC 103.

Entry of this Response, allowance of the claims and the passing of this application to issue are respectfully solicited.

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned for prompt action.

Respectfully submitted,

/Douglas Holtz/

Douglas Holtz Reg. No. 33,902

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C. 220 Fifth Avenue - 16<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10001-7708 Tel. No. (212) 319-4900 Fax No. (212) 319-5101 DH:iv:ril